



TFU.)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of)
Iwao MIYAJIMA) Examiner: Winner, Tony
Serial No.: 09/763,092) Group Art Unit: 3611
Filed: February 16, 2001)
For: SWING ARM FOR TWO-WHEELED)
MOTOR VEHICLE AND METHOD OF)
PRODUCING THE SAME)

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION OF JUNE 7, 2005

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is in response to the Office Action of June 7, 2005.

The Rejection of Claims 14, 15, and 28 for Indefiniteness

It is respectfully submitted that the examiner misinterprets applicant's teachings, where in paragraph 2, toward the bottom of page 2 of this latest office action, the examiner writes: "The specification discloses the "gum base" as a "cross-linked" [sic]. Applicant's specification uses the term "cross-linked" only in connection with a foam. Specifically, at page 10, lines 1-10 applicant refers to "gum-based foam (cross-linked foam)" and "urethane foam having gum-based particles", in the alternative. Claim 28 recites only the latter.